

Prospect Education Center

645 North Prospect St. • Porterville, CA 93257 • (559) 782-7095 • Grades K-12 James R. Tyler, Principal jtyler@portervilleschools.org

2012-13 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2013-14 School Year

Porterville Unified School District

600 West Grand Ave. Porterville, CA 93257 (559) 793-2400 portervilleschools.org

District Governing Board

Hayley Buettner
Pete Lara, Jr.
Pat Contreras
Sharon Gill
David DePaoli
Richard Morris
Lillian Durbin

<u>District Administration</u>
John Snavely, Ed.D. **Superintendent**

Ken Gibbs, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent
Business Services

Martha Stuemky, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent
Instructional Services

Nate Nelson, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2012-13 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (559) 782-7095.

Principal's Message

Welcome to Prospect Education Center. PEC is a small school open to any Porterville Unified School District student. Though we offer special services, such as childcare for student parents and home instruction for students with medical concerns, some of our students choose to enroll in PEC because it offers a small school setting, flexibility and a quality education. The curriculum is challenging and standards-based, while being delivered in a variety of formats. We serve infants/toddlers, K-8 and 9-12 grades, with most of the students in high school.

Our motto is "Choices in Education." We offer both home-based and on-site instruction. Students may also blend classes at their home campus with PEC classes or home-based instruction. This flexibility, combined with a strong partnership between parent, teacher, and student, enables us to design individual programs. Each student has a test profile that reflects scores on state tests (CAHSEE and STAR), district proficiency tests and school benchmark tests. Monitoring student progress insures that each student is benefitting from the unique program he/she has chosen.

Our School Site Council and English Learner Advisory Committee offer an additional method for parents, teachers and students to become involved. Please join us as we develop the support necessary for this flexible, rigorous, academic program.

School Mission Statement

Prospect Education Center is a school of choice offering both on-site and home instruction to any student residing in the Porterville school district. PEC believes in a strong partnership between student, parent and teacher within which students pursue educational excellence. We believe that a challenging rigorous curriculum with clear reliable evaluation can be delivered in a variety of methods without losing quality.

School Profile

Porterville, lying along the foothills of the Sierras, is located on State Highway 65, 165 miles north of Los Angeles, and 171 miles east of the Pacific Coast. Centrally located to major markets, the city has strategic access to major transportation routes. Porterville Unified School District serves more than 13,000 students throughout the community, as well as the town of Strathmore. The district is comprised of ten elementary schools, three middle schools, four comprehensive high schools, one magnet academy, one continuation high school, and two alternative sites.

Prospect Education Center serves students in grades pre-kindergarten through twelve on a traditional calendar schedule. Curriculum is based on meeting the needs of students through a variety of teaching styles, integrating the curriculum when appropriate, and incorporating technology whenever possible. Special emphasis is placed on cultural awareness. Diverse literature selections and various multicultural observances promote a climate of tolerance and acceptance among students and faculty.

During the 2012-13 school year, 191 students were enrolled at the school.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs in the district. Students are strongly encouraged to volunteer in community activities and organizations, such as the Police Activities League and local churches. The School Site Council plays an active role in the operation of Prospect Education Center.

Contact Information

Parents who wish to participate in the school's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the main office at (559) 782-7095. The district's website (www.porterville.k12.ca.us) also provides a variety of helpful resources and information for parents, students, staff, and community members.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level Number of Students				
Gr. 2	2			
Gr. 4	2			
Gr. 5	1			
Gr. 6	3			
Gr. 7	1			
Gr. 8	2			
Gr. 9	6			
Gr. 10	12			
Gr. 11	35			
Gr. 12	46			
Total	110			

Student Enrollment by Group				
Group Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	0.9			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.8			
Asian	0.0			
Filipino	1.8			
Hispanic or Latino	55.5			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0			
White	39.1			
Two or More Races	0.9			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70.0			
English Learners	25.5			
Students with Disabilities	10.0			

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution											
Number of Classrooms* Average Class Size												
Ave	rage C	iass Siz	:e		1-20			21-32	2	33+		
Year	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13
Gr. 2			1			2						
Gr. 4			1			2						
Gr. 5	1	11	1	1	1	1	0	0		0	0	
Gr. 6	1		2	1		2	0			0		
English	9.8	8.8	10	8	6	11	0	0		0	0	1
Math	6	19.7	8	6	11	14	0	0		0	1	1
Science	10	5.8	5	4	5	15	0	0		0	0	1
SS	11.6	14.8	5	5	5	21	0	0	1	0	0	1

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School 10-11 11-12 12-13						
Suspensions Rate	2.4	1.32	0.00			
Expulsions Rate	0.63	0.00	0.00			
District	10-11	11-12	12-13			
Suspensions Rate	11.96	11.83	7.97			
Expulsions Rate	1.03	0.14	0.17			

The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Prospect Education Center. Director and teachers supervise students on campus before and after school and during out of class breaks. All visitors must sign in at the main office and receive proper authorization to be at the school. Visitors are asked by the staff to display their pass at all times.

The School Site Safety Plan was most recently revised in fall 2013 by the staff and administration; results are reviewed and acted upon immediately. Key elements of the plan focus on emergency preparedness. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held regularly; fire, earthquake, and lockdown drills are held once a month on a rotating basis.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2011

Prospect Education Center was established in 1983. The current facilities housing Prospect Education Center were originally constructed as a Children's Center in 1971. The most recent renovations to the campus occurred in 2008 and included installation of new flooring in the assessment center and recarpeting of two classrooms. Two new classrooms were also constructed in 1997.

The campus is currently comprised of five classrooms (including portables), a library, a computer lab, one staff room, one playground, a child care center, and the main office. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district in December 2011.

Cleaning Process

The school provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

Maintenance & Repair

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the school's custodial staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority; repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received. At the time of publication, 100% of the school's restrooms were in working order.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status					
Create in the market		Repair Status	Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fair Poor		Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	Rm 1 - Carpet wrinkling, trip hazard.; Rm 2 - Stained ceiling tiles.;	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	Grounds - Couple of gopher holes.;	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	Rm 5 - Broken lens cover.; PE Trailer - 2 light fixtures out.;	

School Facility Good Repair Status					
Contains languages	Repair Status				Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]]]	[]	Grounds - Water fountain is very dirty and stained, heavy hard water deposits on faucets.;
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]]]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]]]	[]	Rm 1 - Stained ceiling tiles.;
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

Teacher Credentials						
School 10-11 11-12 12-13						
Fully Credentialed	7	7	6			
Without Full Credential	0	0	0			
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0			
Districtwide	10-11	11-12	12-13			
Fully Credentialed	•	+	563			
Without Full Credential	+	+	4			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
School 11-12 12-13 13-14						
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0			
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0			
Vacant Teacher Positions 0 0 0						

[&]quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by site administrators, who have been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria includes the following:

- Engaging and Supporting all Students in Learning
- Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning
- Assessing Student Learning
- Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning
- Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for all Students
- Developing as a Professional Educator

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. For the past three years, the district has offered two staff development days.

During this time, teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. The school uses the Best Practices teaching strategies and teachers are trained in the techniques each year.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) facilitator and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	

Core Academic Classes raught by riighly Qualified reachers					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	98.8	1.2			
Districtwide					
All Schools	98.2	1.8			
High-Poverty Schools	98.2	1.8			
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0			

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Academic Counselor 0 Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor 0 Library Media Teacher (Librarian) 0 Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) 0 **Psychologist** 0 0 Social Worker Nurse 0 Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist 0 0 **Resource Specialist** Other 0 **Average Number of Students per Staff Member Academic Counselor**

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

	Exp	Average Teacher Salary		
Level	Total Restricted Unrestricted			
School Site	\$8,818	\$217	\$8,601	\$79,489
District	+	•	\$6,336	\$69,248
State	* *		\$5,537	\$68,841
Percent Difference: School Site/District			35.7	14.8
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			55.3	15.5

- Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
- * Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,106	\$40,933			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,598	\$65,087			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$82,129	\$84,436			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$123,392	\$106,715			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$114,481	\$111,205			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$124,594	\$120,506			
Superintendent Salary	\$155,487	\$207,812			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	38.9%	39.8%			
Administrative Salaries	4.0%	5.1%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

In addition to general state funding, Porterville Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Title I, Basic Grant
- Title II, Teacher Quality & Technology
- Title III, Limited English Proficiency

One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2011

Porterville Unified School District held a Public Hearing on September 6, 2011 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in December 2011, regarding textbooks in use during the 2011-12 school year.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials					
Core Curriculum Area	Textboo	oks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption			
Reading/Language Arts	·	Glencoe/McGraw Hill			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2000			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2003			
		McDougal Littell Adopted 2005			
Mathematics		Glencoe			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2000			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	McDougal Littell Adopted 2006			
		Saxon Adopted 2000			
Science		Glencoe			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2001			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	Harcourt Adopted 2001			
		Prentice Hall Adopted 2006			
History-Social Science		Houghton Mifflin			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2007			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	McDougal Littell Adopted 2006			
Health		Harcourt			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2006			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	MacMillan/McGraw Hill Adopted 2006			
Visual and Performing Arts		Alias			
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	Adopted 2008			
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	Wadsworth Publishing Adopted 2006			

<u>Note</u>: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include Englishlanguage arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate
 assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA
 for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three
 through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five
 and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to
 assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from
 achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California
 content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

	STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison								
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject	School District State								
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	29	23	16	42	45	42	54	56	55
Math	8	5	5	41	44	41	49	50	50
Science	11	11	14	44	44	44	57	60	59
H-SS	15	14	7	35	37	36	48	49	49

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2013 STAR Results by Student Group					
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS	
All Students in the LEA	42	41	44	36	
All Student at the School	16	5	14	7	
Male	19	5		13	
Female	15	5	13	4	
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	11	4		3	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	20	7	15	13	
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9	4		8	
English Learners		7			
Students with Disabilities					
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison				
Group	Actual API Change			
Group	10-11	11-12	12-13	
All Students at the School	-5	24	-73	
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison						
API Rank 2010 2011 2012						
Statewide	1	1	1			
Similar Schools						

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2006-2007	
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3	
Number of Schools Currently in Program I	17	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program In	nprovement	89.5

API Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level

Group		School	District	State
All Students	Students	50	10,065	4,655,989
at the School	API-G	535	742	790
Black or	Students	0	41	296,463
African American	API-G		742	708
American Indian or	Students	1	233	30,394
Alaska Native	API-G		683	743
Asian	Students	0	180	406,527
	API-G		815	906
Filipino	Students	1	93	121,054
	API-G		820	867
Hispanic	Students	22	7,923	2,438,951
or Latino	API-G	449	735	744
Native Hawaiian/	Students	0	26	25,351
Pacific Islander	API-G		655	774
White	Students	26	1,452	1,200,127
	API-G	609	775	853
Two	Students	0	94	125,025
or More Races	API-G		758	824
Socioeconomically	Students	21	8,452	2,774,640
Disadvantaged	API-G	566	730	743
English Learners	Students	9	3,765	1,482,316
	API-G		689	721
Students	Students	6	579	527,476
with Disabilities	API-G		494	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	No
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at

www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements				
	Graduating Class of 2013			
Group	School	District	State	
All Students				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate						
Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12			
Dropout Rate (1-year)	95.70	90.20	100.00			
Graduation Rate		0.00	2.44			
	District					
Dropout Rate (1-year)	17.80	19.30	16.40			
Graduation Rate	83.12	78.07	80.42			
Dropout Rate (1-year)	16.60	14.70	13.10			
Graduation Rate	80.53	77.14	78.73			

The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB. For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject	Subject 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13						
English-Language Arts	31	24	37				
Mathematics	25	22	17				
District							
English-Language Arts	46	46	43				
Mathematics	51	49	49				
English-Language Arts	59	56	57				

56

58

60

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)					
Subject	Number of Percent o AP Courses Students I Offered* AP Course				
Computer Science					
English					
Fine and Performing Arts					
Foreign Language					
Mathematics					
Science	-				
Social Science					
All courses		_			

Where there are student course enrollments.

Mathematics

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year							
Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics			
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	
All Students in the LEA	57	23	20	51	36	14	
All Students at the School	63	32	5	83	11	6	
Male							
Female	60	33	7	85	8	8	
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino							
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White	50	50		75	17	8	
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged							
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities							
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services							

Career Technical Education Participation					
Measure	CTE Program Participation				
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0				
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0				
Percent of CTE courses sequenced/ articulated between the school/ institutions of postsecondary education	0				

Courses for University of California and/or California State University				
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent			
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	16.6			
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission				

Career Technical Education Programs

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- · Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- · State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee